

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/792,043	2,043 03/02/2004		Hai Yan	A-71587	4728
40461	7590	09/03/2004		EXAMINER	
EDWARD	s. wrig	HT	KWOK, HELEN C		
1100 ALMA STREET, SUITE 207 MENLO PARK, CA 94025				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1,11,01,10,0171	.u., O/1	7.025		2856	

DATE MAILED: 09/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_ <del></del> -				
	10/792,043		YAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Helen C. Kwok	2856	and				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app			dress				
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a r within the statutory minimum of thin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely THS from the mailing date of this co NANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
	action is non-final.						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o</li> </ul>	vn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
0) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
							Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in A rity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National	Stage				
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTC	1 152)				
3) A Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>June 21, 2004</u> .	6) Other:		r-102)				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Objections

1. Claims 1-11 and 19 are objected to because of the following informalities.

Appropriate correction is required.

In claim 1, line 4, the word – for – should be inserted before the word "coupling".

In claim 4, line 1, the word – for – should be inserted after the word "means".

In claim 6, line 1, the word – for – should be inserted after the word "means".

In claim 19, line 3, what is the word "them" referring to?

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 14, lines 1-2, the phrase "the electrostatic coupling forces" lacks antecedent basis.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2856

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Page 3

5. Claims 1-5 and 7-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by either U.S. Patent 6,230,563 (Clark et al.) or U.S. Patent 6,250,156 (Seshia et al.). [It should be noted that the first reference numeral corresponds to Clark et al. and the second reference numeral separated by a semicolon corresponds to Seshia et al.).

The references, Clark et al. and Seshia et al., disclose a dual-mass vibratory gyroscope comprising, as illustrated in Figures 4-9 of Clark et al.; Figure 14-16B of Seshia et al., first and second masses 130,131; 202,204mounted in a manner to permit anti-phase dithering motion along a first axis and differential motion along a second axis in response to a Coriolis force produced by rotation about a third axis; mean for coupling the masses together through electrostatic forces 104-111; 224,226,216 which is a function of the relative positions of the masses having different resonant frequencies; a plurality of parallel plates connected to the masses (i.e. the finger-like electrodes); a plurality of sensors coupled to the masses (i.e. the sensing finger-like electrodes) wherein the masses are spaced above a substrate. (See, column 5, line 51 to column 7, line 56 of Clark et al.; column 14, line 11 to column 16, line 25 of Seshia et al.)

With regards to claims 12-20, the claims are commensurate in scope with the above claims and are rejected for the same reasons as set forth above.

Application/Control Number: 10/792,043 Page 4

Art Unit: 2856

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either U.S. Patent 6,230,563 (Clark et al.) or U.S. Patent 6,250,156 (Seshia et al.) in view of U.S. Patent 5,895,850 (Buestgens).

With regards to claim 6, neither Clark et al. or Seshia et al. suggest a third mass coupled to the first and second masses. Buestgens discloses a gyroscope comprising, as illustrated in Figures 1, first mass 1; second mass 2; and third mass 3 coupled to the first and second mass. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to have readily recognize the advantages and desirability of employing a third mass to the apparatus of either Clark et al. or Seshia et al. as suggested by Buestgens to further enhance the apparatus so that the gyroscope system is insensitive to interference effects. (See, column 3, line 47 to column 4, line 7 of Buestgens).

#### Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Application/Control Number: 10/792,043 Page 5

Art Unit: 2856

examiner should be directed to Helen C. Kwok whose telephone number is (571) 272-2197. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hezron E. Williams can be reached on (571) 272-2208. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Helen C. Kwok

Art Unit 2856

hck August 27, 2004